

agronomy



APRIL 2020

SOUTH DAKOTA STATE UNIVERSITY® AGRONOMY, HORTICULTURE, & PLANT SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

2019 Soybean Fungicide Field Trials Summary

SDSU Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer in accordance with the nondiscrimination policies of South Dakota State University, the South Dakota Board of Regents and the United States Department of Agriculture.

2019 Field Plot Summaries for Soybeans: Plant Disease and Fungicide Trials

Dalitso Yabwalo – Research Associate Connie Tande – SDSU Extension Plant Diagnostician Emmanuel Byamukama – Associate Professor & SDSU Extension Plant Pathologist

SUMMARY

This report is a brief of field research fungicide trials conducted in 2019. The purpose of these studies was to assess efficacies of fungicides for foliar disease management and nematicide seed treatment products for soybean cyst nematode management. The foliar studies were conducted in Brookings, Southeast research farm (SERF) near Beresford and Volga research farm and the nematicide seed treatment trial was performed at a growers' farm near Brookings.

Fungicide and nematicide products used in these trials were approved for use in the state of South Dakota although in some instances experimental products were used within the restricted use guidelines. Some of the protocols followed in these experiments involved altered rates and application timing for experimental purposes and, therefore, should not be taken as recommendations. Producers should always read and follow product label application protocols such as product use, application method, handling, pre-harvest, reentry intervals and all other safety guidelines.

The 2019 growing season had minimal disease pressure to influence statistically significant differences among treatments. However, some treatments had numerically high yield which may not be attributed to treatments applied solely. Therefore interpretation of the results should not be extrapolated beyond what is explained by statistical analysis. For nematicide seed treatment trial, products did not significantly reduce SCN populations or increase yield, however, the susceptible variety had a high end of season SCN population relative to the resistant variety.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

These studies were accomplished with contributions from the SD Soybean Research and Promotion Council, SDSU Agricultural Experiment Station and collaborators from private industry. In addition, various programs at SDSU such as Crop Performance Testing, Entomology, Southeast and Volga Research Farms provided invaluable support. On-farm host, Dave Mack (Brookings, SD) deserves recognition.

1.0 Foliar Fungicide Trial I

The efficacies of several commercially available fungicides and an experimental product were evaluated for soybean foliar fungal disease management at Southeast and Volga research farms. Disease pressure was low at both locations that any differences in yield were not attributable to disease control. As expected, disease severity was relatively highest in untreated plots (Tables 1.1 & 1.2).

2.0 Foliar Fungicide Trial II

The efficacies of a selected commercially available fungicides and an experimental product were evaluated at varying rates and application times for managing fungal diseases in soybean fields. The disease pressure was too low to attribute yield differences to disease effect at Volga (Table 2.1).

3.0 Foliar Fungicide Trial IV

Several experimental fungicides were evaluated for soybean foliar fungal disease management at Volga research farm. Results showed no statistically significant differences that would cause economic injury to yield (Table 3.1).

4.0 Soybean Cyst Nematode (SCN)

The efficacies of two nematicides were evaluated for soybean cyst nematode management in two soybean cultivars, GH0674X and S06-Q9. The cultivars were treated with each of the products. GH0674X is susceptible against SCN (SCN-S) while S06Q9 is resistant to SCN (SCN-R). Nematicides did not show yield advantage across cultivars (Table 5.1). However, soil SCN populations increased from spring to fall in plots planted with the susceptible cultivar (<u>Table 4.1</u>).

SERF & Volga

Volga

Volga

Brookings

SUMMARY TABLES

1.0 Foliar Fungicide Trial

Cultivars: AG14X7

Previous Crop: Corn (SERF), Soybean (Volga)

Planted: 6/13/2019 (SERF), 6/13/2019 (Volga)

Table 1.1 Foliar Fungicide Study I: Means for yield and foliar diseases severity following
application of fungicides at R3 at SERF, SD for the 2019 season.

							Septor	ia		
			Yield	Yield		Test weight		brown spot		ye
Product [†]	Rate	Unit	(bu/ac)	(lb/bu)		(%)		(%)	
Untreated			62.58	а	57.01	a‡	2.65	а	7.50	а
Exp-A	8	fl oz/ac	62.59	а	56.55	а	1.65	а	1.75	b
Stratego YLD	4	fl oz/ac	67.85	а	56.94	а	1.50	а	1.45	b
Priaxor	8	fl oz/ac	68.46	а	57.10	а	0.95	а	1.35	b
Fortix	4	fl oz/ac	66.53	а	57.31	а	0.95	а	1.65	b
Sonata	1	qt/ac	61.85	а	56.97	а	2.00	а	2.30	b
Cuproxat	3.9	pt/ac	66.46	а	57.73	а	1.90	а	1.80	b
Domark 230ME	4	fl oz/ac	62.29	а	57.37	а	1.25	а	2.30	b
Trivapro	13.7	fl oz/ac	65.46	а	57.35	а	0.90	а	0.90	b
Zolera FX 3.34SC	5	fl oz/ac	66.48	а	57.48	а	0.95	а	0.80	b
Delaro	8	fl oz/ac	66.09	а	56.57	а	0.95	а	0.95	b

+Exp-A=Experimental product A

 $_{\ddagger}$ Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different, $p \le 0.05$

Table 1.2 Foliar Fungicide Study I: Means for yield and foliar diseases severity following application of fungicides at R3 at Volga, SD for the 2019 season.

							Septor	ia		
			Yield		Test weight		Brown spot		Froge	ye
Product [†]	Rate	Unit	(bu/ac	(bu/ac)		(lb/bu)			(%)	
Untreated			47.69	а	54.92	a‡	1.85	а	2.85	а
Exp-A	8	fl oz/ac	52.85	а	54.20	а	0.70	b	1.00	а
Stratego YLD	4	fl oz/ac	63.60	а	55.23	а	0.28	b	1.90	а
Priaxor	8	fl oz/ac	64.92	а	54.79	а	0.33	b	1.48	а
Fortix	4	fl oz/ac	57.29	а	55.24	а	0.73	b	0.95	а
Sonata	1	QT/A	55.94	а	55.17	а	1.98	а	2.75	а
Cuproxat	3.9	PT/A	59.89	а	55.00	а	1.00	ab	2.05	а
Domark 230ME	4	fl oz/ac	53.30	а	54.75	а	0.68	b	1.05	а
Trivapro	13.7	fl oz/ac	68.35	а	54.41	а	0.60	b	1.60	а
Zolera FX 3.34SC	5	fl oz/ac	58.52	а	54.79	а	0.73	b	1.30	а
Delaro	8	fl oz/ac	59.49	а	54.58	а	0.55	b	1.43	а

+Exp-A=Experimental product A

 \pm Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different, *p*≤0.05

Cultivars: AG14X7

Previous Crop: Soybean

Planted: 6/13/2019

Table 2.1 Means for yield and foliar diseases severity following sequential application of fungicidal products at different growth stages at Volga, SD for the 2019 season.

						Test					
				Yield	Yield		Weight		Brownspot		ye
Product _†	Rate	Unit	Timing‡	(bu/ac)		(lb/bu)		(%)		(%)	
Check				57.42	а	56.68	a¶	1.68	ab	1.68	а
Propulse	6	fl oz/ac	R1	56.75	а	56.38	а	0.38	С	0.53	а
Propulse	6	fl oz/ac	R3			_					_
Propulse	8	fl oz/ac	R1	60.22	а	56.34	а	0.43	bc	0.40	а
Propulse	8	fl oz/ac	R3			_					
Propulse	10.3	fl oz/ac	R1	61.51	а	56.78	а	1.10	abc	0.45	а
Propulse	10.3	fl oz/ac	R3								
Delaro	12	fl oz/ac	R1	62.59	а	56.18	а	0.88	abc	1.03	а
Propulse	8	fl oz/ac	R3								
Proline 480 SC	3	fl oz/ac	R1	56.80	а	56.25	а	1.78	а	1.33	а
Delaro	8	fl oz/ac	R1	61.79	а	56.50	а	0.50	bc	1.35	а
Exp-A	8	fl oz/ac	R1	57.09	а	56.58	а	0.33	С	0.63	а
Delaro	8	fl oz/ac	R1	62.56	а	56.19	а	0.70	abc	0.40	а
Delaro	8	fl oz/ac	R3								
Exp-A	8	fl oz/ac	R1	59.98	а	56.60	а	0.33	С	0.53	а
Exp-A	8	fl oz/ac	R3								

+Exp-A= Experimental product A.

[‡]R1= beginning of flowering, R3=Begin podding.

 π Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different, $p \le 0.05$

Cultivars: AG14X7

Previous Crop: Soybean

Planted: 6/13/2019

Table 3.1 Means for yield and foliar diseases severity following sequential application of fungicidal products at different growth stages at Volga, SD for the 2019 season.

Product _†	Rate	Unit	Timing _‡	Yield (bu/ac)		Test weight Brown (lb/A) spot (%)			Frogeye (%)		
Untreated				54.36 a	а	56.68	a¶	11.63	а	4.38	abc
Exp-A	2	fl/oz/ac	In-Furrow	54.11 a	а	56.37	а	10.83	а	5.43	ab
Exp-B	5	fl/oz/ac	V3-V4	54.42 a	а	56.31	а	8.48	а	4.73	abc
Exp-B	6.9	fl/oz/ac	V3-V4	57.68 a	а	56.27	а	10.35	а	4.77	abc
Exp-C	6	fl/oz/ac	V3-V4	56.25 a	а	55.89	а	8.58	а	4.85	abc
Exp-D	13.7	fl/oz/ac	R1-R3	59.83 a	а	56.26	а	6.83	а	2.83	bc
Exp-E	4	fl/oz/ac	R1-R3	50.11 a	а	55.99	а	7.15	а	6.63	а
Exp-C	6	fl/oz/ac	R1-R3	49.19 a	а	55.85	а	6.00	а	2.77	bc
Exp-B	5	fl/oz/ac	R1-R3	51.94 a	а	56.17	а	5.50	а	2.25	С
Exp-F	5.5	fl/oz/ac	R1-R3	52.51 a	а	56.33	а	7.47	а	4.43	abc
Exp-G	2	fl/oz/ac	In-Furrow	52.66 a	а	56.38	а	8.33	а	4.72	abc
Exp-C	6	fl/oz/ac	R1-R3								
Exp-G	2	fl/oz/ac	In-Furrow	53.46 a	a	57.01	а	6.63	а	2.36	bc
Exp-B	5	fl/oz/ac	R1-R3								

+Exp-A, B, C, D, E, F, G = Experimental products A, A, B, C, D, E, F, G.

[‡]R1= beginning of flowering, R2=full flowering, R3=Begin podding, R4= full pod at every at upper nodes.

 $_{\text{T}}$ Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different, $p \le 0.05$

Cultivars: GH0674X (SCN-Susceptible) and SO6Q9 (SCN-Resistant)

Previous Crop: Soybean

Planted: 6/4/2019

Table 4.1. Soybean Cysts Nematode (SCN) Demonstration: Means for fall and spring SCN numbers, yield, spring and fall stand counts associated with various seed treatments at Brookings, SD for 2019.

Cultivar	Product			Test weight (lb/bu)		Spring SCN population		Fall SCN population	
GH0674X (SCN-S)	Untreated	25.25	а	55.53	a†	317	а	8110	а
	Clariva Complete								
GH0674X (SCN-S)	Beans	22.74	а	56.64	а	292	а	9392	а
GH0674X (SCN-S)	ILeVo	25.50	а	56.34	а	275	а	11600	а
S06-Q9 (SCN-R)	Untreated	27.74	а	56.00	а	608	а	1233	b
	Clariva Complete								
S06-Q9 (SCN-R)	Beans	28.20	а	56.80	а	350	а	1817	b
S06-Q9 (SCN-R)	ILeVo	27.24	а	56.17	а	533	а	1075	b

 \pm Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different, p \leq 0.05